Review Notes – Pay and Productivity

- The Basic Premise of the topic is that a worker's pay affects the worker's productivity.
 - Profit maximizing firms have a complicated job to hire, train, and motivate workers in order to increase productivity in a profit maximizing way. Issues we addressed include:
 - 1. Often difficult/costly to monitor productivity of workers both before and after hiring.
 - 2. While human capital does affect productivity variation in productivity still exists for other reasons (why does this matter? Hint: related to number 1.)
 - 3. Productivity = f(ability, human capital, level of effort, environment, etc.)
 - 4. Increased productivity by workers often result of initiative taken by workers => how do you induce them to take initiative rather than just "doing their job"?
- How does the firm motivate workers?
 - Definitions know each one.
 - 1. Employment contracts
 - 2. Information asymmetry
 - Even though, contracts lay out terms, information asymmetry makes it possible for both parties to cheat on their agreement.
 - Cheating by firms on things like promotions, wages, training, etc.
 - Cheating by workers is shirking on their effort reducing their productivity.
 - How to prevent cheating?
 - Signaling
 - Parties signal their intentions by their behavior. What are some examples for firms and workers?
 - Self Enforcement
 - This is a contractual issue. Construct built-in incentives in the contract to give incentives not to cheat. How?
 - What is the cooperative surplus?
 - Pay based upon individual output
 - What is the incentive given by tying pay to productivity?
 - Problems?
 - How closely is output affected by worker effort? Why does this matter?
 - How do we measure output? This is generally a quality vs. quantity issue with it common that a tradeoff exists between the two. Why does this matter?
 - Flat rate pay per unit of time with monitoring of worker effort
 - Incentive to work hard given by sanctions imposed if detected shirking.
 - Monitoring Costs. What are those and how do they affect the issue?
 - If high monitoring costs => unlikely to choose flat rate pay. Why?
 - If low monitoring costs => more likely to choose flat rate pay. Why?
 - Group Motivation

- A worker is concerned about own pay but also pay relative to other workers => equity issues. What happens if the worker feels pay is unfair?
- What is the solution?
- Loyalty to the Group. How is that built?
- What is the basis for yearly pay?
 - Again, piece rate vs. per unit of time pay.
 - Types of piece rate pay?
 - Employees prefer pay per unit of time. Why? What is risk aversion?
 - What do firms prefer?
 - Look at the tradeoff between the two methods (hint: focus on the tradeoff between monitoring costs and the costs of measuring output which is associated with which method?)
 - Also look at who bears the risk of variation in costs/pay for the two methods. Also focus on who is the most risk averse workers or firms.
 - Pay for output
 - Measurement problems again. That is, perhaps quality of output will drop.
 - What about lack of maintenance for capital?
 - Pay for Group output
 - Free-riding. How to solve?
 - Pay for time with merit increases
 - How does it work?
 - What are the advantages?
 - What are the problems?
- The level of Pay and Productivity
 - Does increasing pay increase productivity?
 - Why would it? Know the theoretical reasons.
 - What are efficiency wages?
 - Increasing wages have <u>both</u> costs and benefits (what are they?) => increase wages as long as doing so increases profits (MB > MC) => the wage that maximizes profits in this manner is the efficiency wage.
 - Efficiency wages explain the existence of persistent unemployment. How?
 - Efficiency wages may explain observed persistent differences in wages paid to similar workers in different industries. How?
 - Empirical evidence what is the impact of efficiency wages on productivity.
 - We talked about two types of studies. Make sure you know both types and what the results of the empirical work is do efficiency wages exist.
- The Sequencing of Pay and Productivity
 - Assumes a long-term career between worker and firm.

- Workers will only accept wages that meet the criteria of the present value of wages/promotions/benefits over time ≥ present value of the market wage. The firm would be willing to offer these wages as long as the compensation scheme increases productivity.
- One possibility is to underpay earlier in career and overpay later in career. Why does this delayed compensation plan make sense?
- Know the graph showing the two age-earnings profiles.
- What are the constraints upon delayed compensation?
 - Again present value of the delayed compensation plan to the worker must be ≥ the present value of the market wage for the worker to accept.
 - But also the firm will not offer delayed compensation unless the present value of career marginal productivity \geq present value of career compensation.
- What are the risks to both parties?
 - Workers' risk is that the firm reneges on paying higher compensation later in career.
 - Firms' risk is that workers don't retire as agreed to but continue to work.
 - How can both problems be dealt with?
- Who adopts delayed compensation plans?

Promotion Tournaments

- Three key characteristics
 - 1. Uncertainty about the winner.
 - 2. Winner is based on relative productivity.
 - 3. Rewards for increased productivity largely go to the winner.
- Why have promotion tournaments? That is, how do promotion tournaments reduce shirking and increase productivity?
- What matters in constructing successful promotion tournaments?
 - 1. Is winning based upon luck or increased effort/productivity? If luck => not successful.
 - 2. How disparate are the returns if you win? As the disparity increases => the marginal benefit increases.
- Problems to be solved
 - Participants have an incentive to sabotage rivals => reduce productivity.
 - How do you treat losers?
- Why do Earnings Rise with Job Tenure?
 - What does human capital theory say? Hint: increased productivity increases wages.
 - How about the delayed compensation plans that we discussed above?
 - Which of these two explanations is correct?
 - When we know workers are investing in human capital => do wages rise? => if so, then supports the human capital theory.
 - Suppose workers are paid on a piece rate basis => if wages <u>don't</u> rise with tenure then this supports delayed compensation (why?)